

2026 (G26) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program USFS - Plumas National Forest's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division's Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.
- #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Ground Operations: G26-02-13-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #6 "Forest Trails Coordinator" – It is unclear how this position is not duplicative of other supervisor positions with the proposed Project. Applicant must move all costs not associated with updating MVUMs to the Indirect cost category. In addition, applicant stated that 80% of the MVUMs are provided to OHV users. Applicant may only claim 80% of their time working on updating the maps. Deduct \$19,128 from Grant and \$9,576 from match. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$1,672 and match \$824.
- Staff #10 "Resource Specialists" – Applicant removed the minerals specialist from this line item but did not remove the associated costs. Applicant originally listed seven (7) positions. Removing one position reduces the line item total by 14%. Deduct \$3,192 from Grant. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$19,608 and match \$0.
- Equipment Use #4 "Service Truck" – Applicant is reminded fuel can only be claimed at a per gallon rate, not a per mile rate. If funded, fuel receipts must be submitted to justify costs.
- Indirect Costs – Applicant now exceeds the maximum 15% allowable and Project does not meet the 26% minimum match requirement. Deduct \$6,667 from Indirect Grant and \$3,436 from Indirect match. Revised totals for Indirect Costs are now Grant \$12,053 and match \$60,131.

Revised Totals
Grant Request: \$493,280
Match: \$173,315
Total Project Cost: \$666,595

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Narrative does not support the selection of “Providing varied levels of riding difficulty”. Applicant did not provide examples of Project activities being performed that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
- #4 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not host the stakeholder meeting(s). Deduct 1 point.

Restoration: G26-02-13-R01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding.

Project Description – All Others

- Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding.

Project Cost Estimate

- Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding.

Evaluation Criteria

- #1 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 1 point.

- #2 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 19 points.
- #3 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 4 points.
- #4 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 12 points.
- #5 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 5 points.
- #6 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 3 points.
- #7 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 1 point.
- #8 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 2 points.
- #10 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 3 points.
- #12 – Following a review of the Application and completion of an on-site evaluation, no evidence of OHV activity, illegal off-route travel, or any demonstrable nexus to OHV recreation was identified. Based on these findings, the proposed Application does not meet the eligibility criteria for OHV funding. Deduct 20 points.