

2026 (G26) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program USFS - Angeles National Forest's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division's Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 5 points.
- #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #7c – Narrative does not address barriers or signing, it only speaks on patrol. Deduct 3 points.

Ground Operations: G26-02-01-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #1-4 & 12 – The percentage of staff time spent working on Project Deliverable #1 is unclear. Applicant must clarify what portion of staff time will be dedicated to the maintenance of OHV trails and roads within the line item notes.
- Staff #5, 7, 9-11 & 13 – Applicant has duplicated the staff line item name within each line item note. Applicant must remove the redundant language to ensure each line item name appears only once.
- Staff #8-11 & 13 – Applicant did not confirm if the staff positions listed were filled prior to the final Application submission. Applicant must remove line items. If the Project is funded, Applicant may choose to submit a Project Cost Estimate reallocation request to reallocate funds to these staff positions at time of hiring.
- Staff #12 "Volunteer/Inmates" – Applicant did not clarify whether the inmate crews are paid positions with costs incurred by the Forest Service. Remove line item.
- Contract #1 "Restroom Vault Cleaning" – Applicant must update the line item total to reflect the line item notes. Deduct \$276 from match. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$5,364.
- Materials / Supplies #1" Supplies – Facility Maintenance" – Applicant added "gloves"

without receiving a public or Division comment. Applicant must remove the verbiage related to the new item(s).

- Materials / Supplies #4 "Supplies - Miscellaneous" – Applicant did not clarify the meaning of "Extra \$1,000... taken from kiosk signs money from last year". It is unclear how kiosk money from the previous year is related to this proposed line item. Applicant must remove the verbiage related to the new item(s). Deduct \$1000 from Grant. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$2,400 and match \$0.
- Material & Supplies #5 "Hardening Block" – Applicant removed this activity from Project Deliverable #1 but not the associated costs. Remove line item.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Accounting and Billing" – Applicant must change the unit of measure to reflect the quantity of hours and hourly rate used to determine the line item total.
- Match – Due to deductions, Applicant does not meet the minimum 25% match requirement for the Project. Move \$29,520 from Grant to match. Applicant may move Grant to match from any line item(s).

Revised Totals

Grant Request: \$324,515

Match: \$108,172

Total Project Cost: \$432,687

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Narrative and/or Project Description does not support the selections of 'Maintaining trail or road tread for single vehicle use' and 'Providing varied levels of riding difficulty'. The Applicant did not provide example(s) of Project activities being performed that support the selections. Deduct 2 points.
- #6 – Narrative does not support the selection of "Protecting special-status species" and "Providing sanitary facilities". Applicant did not list a special-status species the work being accomplished is protecting. In addition, Applicant did not provide an example of how they provide sanitary facilities". Deduct 2 points.
- #7 – Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support selections of "Paper used for trail maps...". Deduct 1 point.