**USFS – Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit**

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2021-Regulations.pdf>

**General Evaluation Criteria**

* #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 4 points.
* #11a – It is unclear if free literature provided to visitors describes safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Deduct 5 points.

**LTBMU G21 Ground Operations, G21-02-07-G01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* #8 – Applicant added language that indicates staff time will be spent on Planning activities. If awarded, the Applicant must remove "soliciting information about the need for any larger scale corrective actions that may need to be considered and added to a future OHV planning project" from the list of Project Deliverables.
* #10 – Patrol is a law enforcement activity; If awarded, Applicant must remove patrolling and any staff time related to patrol.
* #12 – Grant Administration is not a Project deliverable. If awarded, Applicant must remove this Project deliverable.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Staff #1 “Ranger” – Applicant has listed a portion of the funds requested for Law Enforcement activities which is not part of a Ground Operations Project. Original 4 job duties are listed, line item is reduced by 1/4. Deduct $6,250 Grant.
* Staff #9 “OHV Program Leader” – Hourly rate is excessive to like Projects. Hourly rate is reduced to $63.80. Deduct $2,130 match. Additionally, Applicant did not further clarify the job duties as some appear to be indirect. Six job duties were listed. 2/6 are indirect. move $3,190 match to indirect match.
* Materials/Supplies #4 "Jeep Rubicon Upgrades" – Applicant did not respond about whether or not this vehicle will be used for Law Enforcement. Deduct 1/4 as shown in staff time. Deduct $6,250 Grant.
* Equipment Purchase #1 "Jeep Rubicon" – Applicant did not respond about whether or not this vehicle will be used for Law Enforcement. Deduct 1/4 as shown in staff time. Deduct $10,000 Grant.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: $91,747

Match: $36,392

Total Project cost: $128,139

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #3 – Narrative does not support any selections but 'Providing traffic control...' Applicant did not provide examples of the activities performed as part of the Project to support the selections. Deduct 9 points.
* #5 – Narrative does not support the El Dorado County partners. Applicant did not fully clarify how these partners will be participating on the Project. At least four partners are justified. No point change.
* #7 – Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate do not support selections 'Erosion control features' and 'Paper used for trail maps' are made with recycled content. Applicant states 'whenever possible' which does not guarantee use. Deduct 2 points.