**Plumas County**

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/2021-Regulations.pdf>

**General Evaluation Criteria**

* #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 1 point.
* #4 – Applicant did not provide the Land Manager’s total cost of their OHV Program. Deduct 3 points.
* #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
* #7b – Although Applicant's information matches the Land Manager's, note the Land Manager received a Division comment. Deduct 3 points.
* #11b – Applicant made changes to 11b without a Division or public comment. Deduct 1 point.

**Ground Operations, G21-03-84-G01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Staff #1 “OHV Manager” – Applicant removed Indirect activities as requested but did not reduce cost. 7 job duties were listed, 1/7 removed. Cannot move to Indirect as 15% maximum allowable has been reached. Deduct $428 Grant.
* Indirect – Applicant has exceeded 15% maximum allowable. Deduct $65 Indirect match.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: $187,352

Match: $65,851

Total Project cost: $253,203

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #3 – Narrative does not support 'Providing varied levels of riding difficulty'. Applicant did not provide examples of the activities performed in the Project that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
* #7 – Project Description and Project Cost Estimate do not support selection 'Signs, sign posts or education kiosk...'. Deduct 1 point.

**Development G21-03-84-D01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change***.***

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* #3 – Applicant is reminded that Grant funds cannot be used to fund non-OHV signage.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Contracts #1 “Trail maintenance contract” – Applicant is reminded that Grant funds cannot be used to fund non-OHV signage.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #2b – Applicant did not provide the name and date of reference document that supports the selection. Deduct 3 points.
* #2c – Applicant did not provide the name and date of reference document that supports the selection. Deduct 5 points.
* #2c – Applicant did not provide the name and date of reference document that supports the selection. Deduct 5 points.
* #4 – Narrative does not support selections (except for motorcycle). Applicant did not respond to Division comments. Project is for single-track route and is not designed to provide any other diversified use. Deduct 3 points.
* #3 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant did not provide additional information on how the Project will provide 'Re-routes to divert trails away from riparian/wetlands areas.' Deduct 2 points.