

2026 (G26) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Institute for Wildlife Studies' Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division's Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #2 – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 6 points.
- #3a – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 3 points.
- #6 – First time Applicant or past Applicant with no active Grant projects within the last two years. Add 2 points.
- #7b – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 5 points.
- #7c – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 5 points.
- #8b – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 5 points.
- #9a – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 5 points.
- #9b – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 5 points.
- #10 – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 4 points.
- #11a – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 5 points.
- #11c – Applicant's response does not match the Land Manager's. Deduct 2 points.

Restoration: G26-04-57-R01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- All Line Items: A portion of the Applicant's proposed Project Area does not establish the required nexus to OHV recreation, and all funded activities must be directly related to OHV use within the Project Area. As a result, only fifty percent (50%) of the Project cost is eligible for funding. Applicant must reduce all line items by half.
- Staff #3 "IWS Supervisor" – "Track expenses, conduct quality control data entry, review reports/deliverables, and submit deliverables" are considered an Indirect Cost. Applicant lists four (4) activities for this line item, and removing three (3)

activities results in a 75% reduction. Applicant shall remove the language and move \$3,600 from the match to the Indirect match. The revised line item total is now match \$1,200.

- Staff #4 "Administrative staff" – Line item is considered Indirect. Applicant must move the line item to the Indirect Cost category. Deduct \$1,500 from the Grant to Indirect Grant.
- Indirect cost #1 "Overhead Costs" – Due to movement of line items, Applicant has exceeded the 15% maximum allowable for Indirect. Applicant must deduct \$5,326 from the Grant. The revised line total for the Indirect category is now Grant \$6,031 and match \$3,600.

Revised Totals

Grant Request: \$70,245

Match: \$10,507

Total Project Cost: \$80,751

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Narrative does not support the selection of "Soils- Potential for mass wasting." Applicant did not adequately explain the type and severity of impacts that might occur if the Project is not funded. Deduct 2 points.
- #4 – Narrative does not support the selection of 'Site monitoring to prevent additional damage.' The Project describes monitoring wildlife, but it does not include monitoring for OHV incursions to prevent additional damage. Deduct 2 points.
- #6 – As the Project areas are located on lands managed by multiple Land Managers, and the Applicant did not confirm whether any of the Land Managers intend to pursue future grant funding for operational costs, the response is incomplete. Deduct 5 points.