**Plumas County**

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/G22%20Regulations_w_TOC_ADA.pdf>

**General Evaluation Criteria**

* #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 1 point.
* #4 – The total cost of Land Manager's operational budget is missing. Deduct 3 points.
* #6 – In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with their assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. Add 3 points.
* #11a – Narrative does not support the selections. It is unclear if the narrative for each selection supports safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Applicant did not explain/provide OHV examples. Deduct 10 points.
* #11b – Narrative does not support the selection. OHV education programs must be hosted by the Applicant to educate the public on safe and responsible OHV recreation practices. Deduct 1 point.
* #8b – Applicant did not specifically identify the title(s) of the qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity. Deduct 5 points.

**Ground Operations, G22-03-84-G01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* No change.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #4 – Narrative does not support the selection “The Applicant held a meeting(s), held either in-person or virtual, with multiple distinct stakeholders...”. Applicant did not clearly state if they met with multiple stakeholders. Deduct 1 point.

**Planning, G22-03-84-P01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* #11 – ''Participate in Dixie Fire recovery efforts'' deliverable is not related to the scope of the Project. If awarded, Applicant must remove this deliverable.

***Project Description – All Others***

* Applicant removed language related to planning activities other than OHV Recreation. Applicant is reminded the Grants Program can only fund motorized planning activities.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Project Cost Estimate – All line items - Applicant removed planning activities not related to OHV recreation from the Project Description, but did not adjust the Project Cost Estimate accordingly. Applicant listed 5 user types for this Project, by removing 4 user types, all line items are reduced by 80%. Deduct $127,625 Grant and $45,204 match. Revised totals are now Grant $31,906 and match $11,301.
* Staff #1 ''OHV Manager'' – Applicant removed ''Coordinates all communication with California OHV Grant Administrator to complete Project'' but did not reduce the line item to remove the indirect costs associated with this task. Applicant listed 6 Project activities for this line item, by removing 1 activity, line item total is reduced by 16.6%. Deduct $417 Grant. Revised total for this line item is now Grant $83.
* Indirect – Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable. Deduct $62 indirect match. Revised total for this line item is now match $4,724.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: $31,489

Match: $11,239

Total Project Cost: $42,728

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #4 – Narrative does not support the selection of ''The Applicant initiated and conducted a publicly noticed meeting(s)...'' or ''The Applicant held a meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders ...''. Applicant did not host the meetings. Deduct 2 points.
* #5 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not specify how the stakeholders ''Nevada County Woods Riders'', ''Truckee Dirt Riders'', and ''Mat Fogarty Local Hotel Owner'' input will be beneficial to the Project. Deduct 5 points.
* #7 – Narrative does not support the selection of “Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project” and “Project supports development of OHV Opportunities within 60 miles of incorporated city”. It is not clear Project will lead to development of OHV opportunities. Deduct 10 points.