**BLM – Redding Field Office**

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/G22%20Regulations_w_TOC_ADA.pdf>

**General Evaluation Criteria**

* #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 5 points.
* #6 – In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with their assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email, or personal visit. Add 3 points.

**Ground Operations, Chappie-Shasta OHV Area, G22-01-14-G01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* No change.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* No change.

**Restoration, Redding BLM, G22-01-14-R01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* Describe how the proposed Project relates to OHV Recreation and how OHV Recreation caused the damage – 4970.11(f)(1)(C) – Applicant did not fully remove or clarify verbiage as it related to Restoration Projects. Verbiage is still Ground Operations in nature. Applicant is reminded that only restoration activities are eligible within the Project Area.
* Describe the size of the specific Project Area(s) in acres and/or miles – 4970.11(f)(1)(D) – Applicant did not fully clarify the location of the Project. Applicant is reminded that only restoration activities are eligible within the Project Area.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Contracts #1 ''Weed Contract'' – Line item cost ($40,000.00) does not match the provided contract cost estimate. Applicant states that the cost of the contract is $550.00 per acre for 72.67 acres (550 x 72.67 = $39,968.50). Deduct $32 Grant. Revised total for this line item is now Grant $39,968 and match $0.00.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #4 – Narrative does not support the selection ''Incorporation of... 'Best Management Practices'''. Applicant did not indicate the ''Redding Field Office Integrated Vegetation Management EA'' would be used on this Project. Deduct 2 points.
* #8 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not list a partner organization that will ''actively participate in the Project''. Deduct 1 point.

**Acquisition, Redding BLM, G22-01-14-A01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Staff #1 'Outdoor Recreation Planner' – Applicant increased the match request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. Line item is reverted back to the original preliminary application match request of $4,800.00. Deduct $1,200 from match. Revised total for this line item is now Grant $0.00 and match $4,800.
* Staff #2 'Realty Specialist' – Applicant increased the match request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. Line item is reverted back to the original preliminary application match request of $9,750.00. Deduct $3,250 from match. Revised total for this line item is now Grant $0.00 and match $9,750.
* Others #5 'Mineral Potential Report/Memo' – Contingent line item: Applicant did not respond to Division comment. If awarded, Applicant must provide details for the line item and how it is related to the Project.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: $90,000

Match: $40,050

Total Project Cost: $130,050.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #2c – Applicant did not provide a date for the reference document that supports the selection. Deduct 5 points.
* #3 – Narrative does not support ''Expanding the types of vehicles that can use the OHV Opportunity'', ''Protecting private property and land owners adjacent to the proposed acquisition from high levels of sound, trespass, and property damage'', and ''Resolving conflict related to OHV Recreation''. Applicant did not provide a detailed explanation that supports the selections. Deduct 2 points

**Law Enforcement, Redding BLM, G22-01-14-L01**

***Needs Assessment***

* No change.

***Needs Enforcement Certification***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Equipment Use #1 ''OHV Fleet Maintenance'' – Applicant is noticed that only Equipment acquired within the Grants program are eligible for maintenance.

***Starting with the 2016/2017 Grant cycle, the following are not eligible costs:***

* Firearms
* Ammo
* Firearm Accessories
* Targets
* Holsters
* Tactical Vests
* Evidence Supplies
* Basic Law Enforcement Vehicle Upgrades for vehicles not being requested in this Application (i.e. Lights, Sirens, etc.)
* *Gun Racks*
* *Truck Vaults*
* *Prisoner Cages for regular patrol vehicles*
* *Dash Cameras for regular patrol vehicles*
* *Officer Worn Cameras (Body Cameras)*
* *Handheld Radar*
* *Drones*
* *Physical Conditioning Supplies*

***The following, are only eligible as indirect costs:***

* Laptops/Accessories
* Cell Phones/Accessories
* iPad Accessories
* Hand Held Radios
* Camera Accessories
* GPS Accessories
* Service Plans (i.e. Radar, SAT, Emergency Beacon Services etc.)
* Batteries
* Uniforms
* Instructor Training
* Perishable skills and all other training not specific to OHV enforcement
* Car Ports/Storage
* Brochures/Printing