**BLM - Barstow Field Office**

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division’s review and determination of the Applicant’s final application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations at <https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/pages/1140/files/G22%20Regulations_w_TOC_ADA.pdf>

**General Evaluation Criteria**

* #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.
* #6 – In the previous year the Applicant has been responsive and communicated effectively with their assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email, or personal visit. Add 3 points.
* #8b – Applicant did not specifically identify the title(s) of the qualified environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity. Deduct 5 points.
* #11b – Narrative does not support the selection. OHV education programs must be hosted by the Applicant. Applicant states, 'The BLM provides the facility and supports the outreach and education' but does not clarify if they hosted the classes or an outside vendor/agency does. Deduct 2 points.
* #11d – Applicant's response matched the Land Manager, but the Land Manager narrative did not support the selection. Land Manager is not clear the number of ATV Safety Institute program will be provided to the public. Applicant states, “BLM provides free ATV Safety courses...as needed.” That is not a guarantee the course will be offered 4-17 times per year. Deduct 1 point.

**Ground Operations, Barstow Ground Operations G22-01-04-G01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description*** ***– All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* Contracts #2 ''Vault Toilet Cleaning'' – Applicant's notes do not match the match total. Applicant states the cost for each contract is $45,000 (making total cost $90,000). Deduct $2,250 Grant and $2,250 match. Revised total for this line item is now Grant $45,000 and match $45,000.
* Indirect Costs #1 ''15% of Grant Request'' – Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable. Deduct $337 indirect match. Revised total for this line item is now Grant $0 and match $123,522.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: $823,478

Match: $289,571

Total Project Cost: $1,113,049

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #3 – Narrative does not support the selection of ''Providing varied levels of riding difficulty''. Applicant did not provide examples of the activities performed as part of the Project to support the selection. Deduct 1 point.
* #4 – Narrative does not support the selections. Applicant did not provide the full date of the public meeting and who hosted the meetings. In addition, Applicant did not meet with multiple stakeholders. Deduct 2 points.
* #6 – Narrative does not support the selection of ''Protecting special-status species''. Applicant must list a special - status species the work being accomplished is protecting (DT is an undefined acronym) Deduct 1 point.
* #7 – Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support the selections of ''Signs, sign posts, or...'' and ''Erosion Control features...''. Applicant states that “Signs, posts and erosion are recycled” but does not clearly state are made with recycled materials. Deduct 2 points.

**Planning, Barstow – Rasor Road OHV Planning G22-01-04-P01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* No change.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #2b – Applicant restated the selections in their response. However, Applicant did not provide a detailed explanation for all the items checked. Deduct 4 points.
* #3 – Narrative does not support the selections. Applicant did not provide detailed explanations for how the Project intends to lead to improved facilities that provide motorized access. Deduct 6 points.
* #4 – Applicant did not clarify the date(s) of public meeting, how public was notified of the meeting, and how distinct stakeholders are stakeholder to the Project. Additionally, Applicant did not list more than one stakeholder. Deduct 2 points.
* #5 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not specify how individual stakeholder input will be beneficial to the Project. Deduct 5 points.
* #6 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant did not provide a detailed explanation for how each partner will specifically participate in the Project. Deduct 4 points.
* #7 – Narrative does not support "Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project". Additionally, Applicant selected "Project supports development of OHV Opportunities within 60 miles of incorporated city" after preliminary without Division or public comment. Deduct 10 points.
* #8 – Selection is incorrect, Land Manager does currently provide OHV Opportunities; 'no' is the appropriate response to this question. Deduct 20 points.

**Education & Safety, Dumont Safety G22-01-04-S01**

***Project Description – Background***

* No change.

***Project Description – Project Description***

* No change.

***Project Description – List of Project Deliverables***

* No change.

***Project Description – All Others***

* No Change.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* No change.

***Evaluation Criteria***

* #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
* #6 – Narrative does not support the selections. Applicant did not provide full meetings dates and did not list more than one stakeholder. Deduct 2 points.
* #14 – Applicant did not provide additional details in the Project description to support the selection of “ATV certification”. Deduct 2 points

**Law Enforcement, Barstow** **Law Enforcement G22-01-04-L01**

***Needs Assessment***

* No change.

***Needs Enforcement Certification***

* #1 – Applicant is noticed that only activities that are open to the public are eligible for reimbursement.

***Project Cost Estimate***

* No change.

***Starting with the 2016/2017 Grant cycle, the following are not eligible costs:***

* Firearms
* Ammo
* Firearm Accessories
* Targets
* Holsters
* Tactical Vests
* Evidence Supplies
* Basic Law Enforcement Vehicle Upgrades for vehicles not being requested in this Application (i.e. Lights, Sirens, etc.)
* *Gun Racks*
* *Truck Vaults*
* *Prisoner Cages for regular patrol vehicles*
* *Dash Cameras for regular patrol vehicles*
* *Officer Worn Cameras (Body Cameras)*
* *Handheld Radar*
* *Drones*
* *Physical Conditioning Supplies*

***The following, are only eligible as indirect costs:***

* Laptops/Accessories
* Cell Phones/Accessories
* iPad Accessories
* Hand Held Radios
* Camera Accessories
* GPS Accessories
* Service Plans (i.e. Radar, SAT, Emergency Beacon Services etc.)
* Batteries
* Uniforms
* Instructor Training
* Perishable skills and all other training not specific to OHV enforcement
* Car Ports/Storage
* Brochures/Printing