

2026 (G26) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program BLM - Redding Field Office's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division's Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 3 points.
- #4 – Applicant's expenditure of OHV Grant Funds in FY25 was \$565,485.00 which is more than 50% of the total OHV program cost. Deduct 1 point.
- #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Ground Operations: G26-01-14-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Equipment Use Expenses #1 "Bulldozer Rental" – Applicant did not respond to Division comment. It is unclear why the cost significantly increased compared to the prior year's Application. Applicant is allowed a 15% increase from the prior year's Application. Deduct \$2,500 from Grant. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$11,500 and match \$0.
- Equipment Use Expenses #2 "Water Truck Rental" – Applicant did not respond to Division comment. It is unclear why the cost significantly increased compared to the prior year's Application. Applicant is allowed a 15% increase from the prior year's Application. Deduct \$1,125 from Grant. Revised total for this line item is now Grant \$2,875 and match \$0.
- Equipment Use Expenses #1-3 & 5 – Contingent line items. It is unclear why the Applicant is utilizing a monthly rental rate in this Application compared to the daily rates claimed in previous Applications. Applicant must clarify how often, in terms of the number of days, the equipment will be used on the Project. In addition, Applicant must provide justification and supporting evidence for the selection of the monthly rental rate as the unit of measurement, including a clear explanation of how the selection of a monthly rental rate results in cost savings for the State compared to a

daily rate.

- Indirect Costs #1 "Indirect Costs" – Contingent line item. Applicant must provide a clear explanation of how the cost was determined, including the precise scope and amount of work to be conducted. For any staff positions included in this line item, Applicant must utilize the hourly rate and quantity of hours as the unit of measure to clearly demonstrate how the associated costs were calculated. If helpful, Applicant may break this line item out into multiple Indirect Cost line items to clearly identify and separate the various costs. In addition, the use of "etc." in the line item notes does not provide sufficient detail to identify the items to be purchased. Applicant must specify each supply item to be purchased, as the current description does not clearly identify what materials are being requested.
- Indirect Costs – Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable. Deduct \$536 from match. Revised total for Indirect costs category is now Grant \$0 and match \$31,134.

Revised Totals

Grant Request: \$207,565

Match: \$80,414

Total Project Cost: \$287,979

Evaluation Criteria

- #7 – Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support the selections of "Erosion control features". Deduct 1 point.

Law Enforcement: G26-01-14-L01

Need Assessment

- No change.

Needs Enforcement Certification

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- No change.