

2026 (G26) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program BLM - El Centro Field Office's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division's Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 3 points.
- #4 – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant states that the total cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent complete fiscal year is \$6,276,162.00 but clarifying details in the narrative total to \$7,254,779.00. Additionally, the Applicant's expenditure of OHV Grant Funds in FY25 was \$1,684,190.00. which is 26% to 50% (26.8%) of the total OHV program cost. Deduct 2 points.
- #6 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #9c – Narrative does not support the selection. Applicant states soil monitoring of the OHV project areas will occur monthly in the fall, winter, and spring. Deduct 1 point.

Ground Operations: G26-01-09-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- #7 – Applicant is reminded that a Habitat Management Program report and/or a Soil Conservation Plan submittal is a Project Deliverable based on identified Ground Disturbing Activities. Applicant must add an associated Deliverable specifying this activity requirement.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- No change.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Project Description section and/or narrative does not support the selection of "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty." Applicant did not provide example(s) of Project activities being performed that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.

- #7 – Project Description and/or Project Cost Estimate sections do not support the selection of 'Other products with recycled content'. Deduct 1 point.

Education & Safety: G26-01-09-S01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #2 “Temp EMT’s for Holidays” – Applicant must remove the mention of match since no match total is listed within this line item. In addition, Applicant was instructed to change the line item Rate to reflect the notes section, not to change the note to match the Rate. Rate is reduced to \$38. Deduct \$500 Grant. Revised line item total is now \$9,500 Grant.
- Materials / Supplies #1 – Chest rigs and tactical pouches are considered an indirect cost. Applicant must move the language and costs associated to the Indirect Cost category. Applicant listed twenty-two (22) items. Removing two (2) reduces the line item by 9%. Move \$2,745 Grant to Indirect Costs Grant. Revised line item cost is now \$27,755. In addition, Applicant did not sufficiently justify the increase in cost, as a result line item is reduced by 50%. Deduct \$13,877 Grant. Revised line item total is now \$13,878 Grant.
- Indirect Costs – Due to deductions Applicant has now exceeded the allowable 15% indirect by \$4,064 Grant. Applicant must deduct \$4,064 indirect Grant.

Revised Totals

Grant Request: \$181,559

Match: \$210,000

Total Project Cost: \$391,559

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Law Enforcement: G26-01-09-L01

Need Assessment

- No change.

Needs Enforcement Certification

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #3 "Law Enforcement Technician" – Per Applicants response to Division comment #3 "The technician also assists with DUI and safety checkpoint setup, CHP 180 vehicle impound reviews, and citation, tow, and incident tracking processes " duties are indirect. Applicant lists 13 potential duties, of which 6 are indirect. Move 46% of line item to indirect. Move \$8,004 to Indirect Grant. The revised line total is now Grant \$9,396.